

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

94.

RA 32/2024 with MA 3000/2024 in OA 1989/2021

Union of India & Ors. Applicant
Versus
Ex Sep Anand Pratap Singh Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Aseem Kumar Sahay, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. V. S. Kadian, Advocate

WITH

95.

MA 3896/2023 in OA 1989/2021

Ex Sep Anand Pratap Singh Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Virender Singh Kadian, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Aseem Kumar Sahay, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
20.11.2024

RA 32/2024 with MA 3000/2024

This application has been filed for review of the order passed in OA 1981/2021 wherein respondents were directed to grant one notional increment to the applicant based on the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.2471 of 2023 decided on 11.04.2023 titled as The Director (Admn. And HR) KPTCL and Others Vs. C.P. Muddinamani and Others [(2023) SCC Online SC 401] and were granted liberty to verify the records and pass speaking

order in case the applicant was not entitled to the said benefit. Now a Review Application has been filed on the ground that the applicant has not completed one full year for grant of notional increment. For the said purpose, filing of Review Application is not required. Respondents to pass a speaking order indicating the reasons as to why the applicant cannot be granted notional increment and convey the same to the applicant thereafter the applicant, if so advised, can challenge the same afresh in accordance with law.

3. That being so, we see no error apparent on face of record or any reason to recall the order passed in OA.

4. Accordingly, RA stands disposed of.

MA 3896/2023 in OA 1989/2021

5. List again on 10th January, 2025.

6. Let a copy of this order be provided DASTI to learned counsel for the parties.


[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON


[LT GEN C.P. MOHANTY]
MEMBER (A)

Priya
RA 32/2024